Case Study JENEBERANG RIVER BASIN, SOUTH SULAWESI, INDONESIA

1.RIVER BASIN 2.CALDERA OF MT. BAWAKARAENG **3.SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN 4.BILI-BILI DAM** 5. PROBLEMS OF DOWNSTREAM AREA OF BILI-BILI DAM

1. RIVER BASIN

The Jeneberang River System, which has a catchments area of 762.01 km², originates at caldera slope of the Mt. Bawakaraeng. Five (5) major sub-basins :

- 1. Bili-Bili Dam Basin : 384.40 km^{2,}
- 2. Salo Malino : 85.89 km^2 ,
- 3. Salo Kausisi : 37.50 km^2 ,
- 4. Jene Rakikang (42.2 km²),
- 5. Binanga Jajang : 22,7 km²,



Figure 1.

Figure 1.



Distance (m)

2. CALDERA OF MT. BAWAKARAENG

2.1. Natural Condition.

Elevation: 2,830 m

Mt. Bawakaraeng, whose name literally means "Mouth of King", shows large caldera formation.

Existing Caldera is surrounded by Mountain ranges of Lompobatang and Bawakaraeng ranging from Elev. 1,800 m to 2,200m. The caldera is in process of expansion at present.



(Elev.2,830m)



Lompobatang-Bawakaraeng Caldera (as of July, 2005)



2.2. Occurrence of Caldera Collapse

A gigantic caldera-wall collapse occurred on March 26, 2004, at the northeast of caldera of Mt. Bawakaraeng (hereinafter the Collapse). The volume of collapsed mass is estimated at 200 to 300 million m³. The caldera-wall collapse brought tremendous damage to the area, accounting for 32 people death and missing, 635 cows losing, many houses, elementary school and about 1,500 ha of agricultural land buried. Total damage was estimated at about Rp. 22 billion.

ASTER (07 Jul. 2001)

ASTER (25 Mar. 2004)

ASTER (07 Sep. 2006)

Bird View at about EL. 6000m







Bird View along river at about EL. 6000m





Comparison of Collapse Condition





2.3. Monitoring of Cracks

There are many cracks observed and start setting observation points at each zone by installation of wooden pegs as shown in picture below. Pompengan-Jeneberang RBO has installed such observation point totally 32 locations (EL.2600-2650m).

Each displacement of crack will be observed at least once in three months as well as rainfall data by using automatic rainfall gauging instrument install by the Pompengan-Jeneberang RBO.



Locations of monitoring piles for opening of cracks

For monitoring of open crack, measurement pile and board bar are installed and change of intervals between piles and boards are measured. And condition of collapsed wall is observed by watching and taking photos from the fixed points.



Zone 1,2 and 3



Zone 4









Installation for small open crack Applied for open crack with width of less than 20 cm H1 H2 Crack



Installation for crack which may move horizontally and vertically Applied for open crack with width of 20 cm and more

Installation for crack which has sliding condition Applied for crack 2-4 only

2.4. Potential Collapse of Caldera Ridge Wall

To grasp of the Caldera wall slope condition and stability in detail, slope units are divided into three (3) groups, 10 units in the North Caldera, 10 units in the East Caldera and 10 units in the South Caldera. In each slope unit, slope inventory record has prepared. The total unstable volume of the whole caldera wall slope is estimated to be 145,068,000 m³. In east caldera wall is estimated with 111,073,000 m³.

Level of possibility Collapace

- Level I, estimated volume is 20,102,500m³. In east Caldera, and partly in the east side of the North Caldera.
- Level II, estimated volume is 24,646,000 m³.
- Level III, estimated volume is 27,819,500 m³
- Expansion Portion, which indicates possible maximum collapse extent at present condition, is estimated to be 72,500,000m³ in volume.

3. Sediment Control Plan

3.1. Formulation of Sediment Disaster Mitigation Plan

- 1) caldera wall collapse (as preliminary sediment movement),
- 2) debris flow,
- 3) mud flow, and
- 4) gully erosion and surface erosion.

Among these phenomena, there are two types of considerable sediment disasters, namely:

- 1) Sediment disasters directly caused by preliminary (future collapse) and secondary collapse,
- Sediment disasters caused by rainfall such as debris flow, mud flow, gully/river bank erosion
 Sediment disaster mitigation plan should be formulated against both the sediment disasters, and will be formulated in combination with structural measures and non-structural measures.



Both the structural and non-structural measures have to cooperate demonstrating each characterized measures to attain sediment disaster mitigation in the Jeneberang River Basin.

3.2 Target Sediment Amounts to be controlled

The amount of sediment deposit in the main river of Jeneberang was estimated at over 230 million m³ (JICA estimation in 2006) and 40 % of them still remained in caldera area. The unstable sediment in the caldera is estimated with 90 million m3 as of July 2008. Therefore, 61% of the total sediment deposit has already flowed into the downstream reaches. It volume is estimated based on the topographic survey result with 140 million m^3 .

3.3. Concepts of Sediment Transportation System by Structure Measure

It is necessary to analysis sediment transportation system in the basin. It is the way to formulate a sabo facility plan in the Jeneberang River Basin. In general, sediment transportation loads is classified as:





3.4 Layout of Sediment Control Works

Based on tentative target sediment amount to be controlled and to be transported at present and future topographic conditions along the river courses, the propose facility layout plan as illustrated in Figure below, which can be classified into six (6) sediment control works, to reduce the debris flow occurrence, to stabilize the riverbed, to regulate the debris flow deposit, to control debris flow direction and to reduce the inflow sediment to Bili-Bili reservoir.



1) <u>Hillside Works</u> and <u>Surface erosion control work</u>.



Process of Traditional Stone Terracing



<u>Outlet of Caldera</u>: Surface erosion control work site: dense plantation is required. EL.1000-1500m **EL 1,500m**: Surface erosion by rain drop on the deposit of caldera: rain drop has accurate surface erosion process. 2 -3 cm depth surface erosion during half hour rainfall observed

2) Debris flow occurrence control works

shall be proposed with series of sabo dams at the exit of a valley, and debris flow direction control works with sabo dams.



3) Debris flow control works, and Sediment runoff control works shall be proposed in combination with Sabo dams and consolidation dams to restrain unstable riverbed and riverbank profile with present riverbed level, to control debris flow direction, and to accumulate runoff sediment deposit at river section from Daraha Bridge section to upper reach section of sand pocket No.5 dam.

 Sediment deposition works and Flow Direction
 <u>Control Works</u> which stock the large amount of gravel and sand material with sand pocket facilities.



Location /Proposed Sabo Facility	Recommended Sabo Facility /Structure Type
(1) Upper Reach Debris Flow Occurrence Control works Series of Sabo dams (it is necessary to consider the number of sabo dams /type of sabo dams based on the results of movement of sediment from caldera)	 Combination type of INSEM and concrete type CSG and ISM type of soil cement was applied to shorten construction Period
(2) Middle Reach (Debris Flow Control Works, Sediment Runoff Control Work) Series of Sabo dams (1 Open type dam, and 5 closed type dam) Series of Consolidation dams with Irrigation intake facility (2 consolidation with crossing road, and 4 consolidation dams)	 Combination type of INSEM and concrete type Combination of Masonry and concrete type
(3) Sand Pocket Area (Sediment Deposit Area) Rehabilitation of 5 existing sand pocket dams A series of dispersion dams with irrigation intake facility would be considered	 Existing sand pocket dams will be utilized as either sub-dam body or base body of new main dams Combination of Masonry and concrete type
<u>Sediment Disposal</u> work by machinery excavation at the edge of reservoir	 Machinery excavation both by mining activities and Government at the edge of Reservoir

Lay Out of Sabo Plans

3.6. Sediment Control Effect by Proposed Sabo Facilities

The sediment control facilities has constructed on present riverbed between upper to middle reaches which already piled up with sediment deposits in order to fix present riverbed deposit. The facilities will be functioned as fixation of riverbed which means;

- to reduce secondary sediment movement from present sediment deposits,
- to reduce lateral erosion of sediment deposits
- to control riverbank erosion during flooding time
- to stock and regulate the debris flow deposit from upper stream
- to guide sediment flow/sediment flow direction





Middle Reach



Estimated sediment control volumes of the above proposed facilities are summarized in table below (Million m³)

Location	Direct Control Sediment Volume	In-direct Control Sediment Volume	Total Directand IndirectControlSedimentVolume
(1) Upper Reach	1.300	28.200	29.500
(2) Middle Reach	1.560	48.430	49.990
Total	2.860	76.630	79.490

3.7. Implementation of Works

Since the caldera wall collapsed on March 2004, the Government of Indonesia cooperating with Government of Japan had made efforts to cope the above sediment problem in Jeneberang River both structural measures and non-structural measures as shown on figures below.

4. Bili-Bili Dam



Bili-Bili Dam and Its Reservoir

Dam type : Main Dam height : Main Dam Crest length : Main Dam Crest width : Main Dam Body volume : Catchment area : Left Wing Dam height : Left Wing Dam Crest length : Left Wing Crest width : Rock-fill dam 73 m 750 m 10 m 2.76 million m³ 384.4 km² 42 m 646 m 10 m 1.47 million m³

 $375 \text{ million } \text{m}^3$ **Gross storage volume :** 346 million m³ **Effective storage volume :** 29 million m^3 **Sediment Capacity :** Water Utilization Capacity : 305 million m³ **Design Flood Water Level :** EL.103.0 m Normal Water Level : EL. 99.5 m Low Water Level : EL.65.0 m **Irrigation area (total):** 25,000 ha **Raw Water transmission capacity:** $3.3 \text{ m}^{3}/\text{s}$

- Three (3) irrigation systems are named as Bili-Bili, Bissua and Kampili, Net total irrigation service areas 23,663 ha.
- Municipal and industrial water supply
 PDAM Makassar
 - PDAM Gowa
 - Sugar factory in Takalar Regency (PT. Perkebunan Nusantara IV (Persero)
- □ Hydro Power 18 MW

PDAM Makassar owns water treatment plants with clean water production capacity of 2,340 liter/sec in total and takes 1,250 liter/sec from the Jeneberang River, or about 53 % of the total water production, while water source of PDAM Gowa totally depends on the water flow of the Jeneberang **River** Basin

4.1. Reservoir Sedimentation

The cumulative reservoir sedimentation curve since 1997shown on following figures and cumulative sedimentation volume had reached 62,744,000 m³ as of November 2008.



The annual variation of sedimentation profile of Bili-Bili Reservoir based on lowest riverbed elevation of results of bathymetric survey result is shown on Figure below.



4.2. Turbidity of Bili-Bili Reservoir

The following graph shows raw water turbidity records before and after the collapse. High turbidity rates occurred during the rainy season in the month of December and January. The maximum turbidity rate declined to 33,000 NTU in 2006 and about 4,000 NTU in 2007.



4.3. Characteristics of Reservoir Sediment Deposition The investigation of sedimentation in reservoir edge in the state of reservoir water level at EL. 83.29 m was conducted on October 12, 2006 and the following characteristics are founded.



As shown in grain distribution of reservoir deposit, content of sediment size is mainly $1mm > \phi > 0.075mm$ (fine sand) and $\phi < 0.075mm$ (silt) is less 10 % and classified as bed load material.

4.4. Cause of Reservoir Turbidity

Serious muddy water occurred from 2004 and significant reduce at present. The cause of muddy water is originated from very fine soil particle which covered on the sediment deposit in the caldera area. Field investigation made on October 2006 found the very fine soil exists at temporary spoil bank in right reservoir edge. The grain distribution is smaller than 0.075mm that classified as wash load materials. This material is same soil which accumulated on the sediment surface in December 2004.

These fine materials on the surface of debris flow materials which causes to high turbidity observed several time in the caldera area and upper reach of Daraha bridge site.



Large-Scale of **Sediment Flow Occured** (July 2005, Dry Season)



Appereance of sand gravel materials after washed away (Mar, 2—0)

Very fine material at inlet structure of Bili-Bili Dam (el.82 m, November 3, 2006)

4.5. Prediction of Future Reservoir Sedimentation



Distance from the Dam (m)

	Cumulati ve Sediment ation Volume (10 ³ m ²)	Percentage of Sediment Volume in the Gross Storage Capacity	Cumulativ e Sedimentat ion in the Water Utilization Capacity (10 ³ m ²)	Percentage of Sediment Volume in the Water Utilization Capacity	Cumulativ e in the Flood Control Capacity (10 ³ m ²)	Percentag e of Sediment Volume in the Flood Control Capacity
2008	60,959	16%	57,215	19%	1,373	3%
2018 (10 years)	98,394	26%	93,000	30%	2,939	7%
2028 (20 years)	126,105	34%	119,825	39%	3,936	10%
2038 (30 years)	148,623	40%	142,943	47%	3,675	9%
2048 (40 years)	168,981	45%	163,778	54%	3,329	8%

Note: Gross Storage Capacity = 375,000,000 m³; Water Utilization Capacity = 305,000,000 m³;

4.6 Reservoir Sediment Management Plan

The measures of Bili-Bili reservoir sediment management might be specified the following stages.

1st stage: Occurrence of caldera collapse

2nd stage: Reduce sediment inflow to reservoir

Reduction of sediment transportation volume, fine sediment trapping facilities, excess sediment removal both by structural sabo dam watershed improvement by planting conservation work,

3rd Stage: Increase reservoir sedimentation volume and keep dam function. Decrease reservoir capacity in 2048 become 50 %, Maintenance dredging work surrounding intake
4th Stage: Recovering reservoir capacity and function
Dry excavation, Construct giant sediment trap at the edge of reservoir, Selectable intake facility
5th Stage: Alternative dam construction at Jenelata

Table 5. Overall Countermeasure for Sedimentation

	Countermeasure work	Main Works	Picture / Image of Facility 2008 2009			2010)		2011		1	2012	2		201	3		20 ⁻	14		201	5					
	eeuneneueure werk		riotaro, inago or ruonity	1	2	3	4 1	2	3 4	1	2	34	1	2	3 4	1	2	34	1	2	3	4 1	2	3	4 1	2	3 4
	IP 524 Urgent Disaster	Debris flow mitigation work in upper reaches (structural measure and non-structural measure)			<mark>n-Go</mark>	oing U	rgent	Sedim	nent C	ontrol	Proje	ct															
1	Reduction Project for reduction of sediment outflow from Caldera and Upper River Section	Sediment removal work at edge of reservoir (Recoving of flood control function)	Flood Control Capacity 41,000,000 m ³ 	0	Dn-Go	oing L	Jrgent	Sedin	nent C	Contro	l Proje		,	- - - -	Cont	inued :	sedir	nent ro	emov	/al wo	ork by	GOI			 		
2	IP 524 Most Urgent Maintenance Dredging Work for avoiding possible inlet blockade by sediment inflow	Maintenance dredging surrounding intake screen during dry season (mean depth: 20-30m)									[]	-			Dredg	ing pe	riod	Rain	y Sea	ason 	(6moi	nths)					
3 <u>Urgent Measures</u> to original dam functi	Urgent Measures to sustain	Improvement of Intake facility	Contact Root		F	/S Stu	Jdy I						_								- → Ir	nstalla	ation	of sele	ective	intake	
	original dam functions	Installation of Giant Sediment Trap	Intake				+	/									-				tallati	- Ion of	Gian	ıt Sedi	iment	Trap	
4	Long Term Measure (Recommendation)	Provision of New Dam in Jenelata River (Jenelata Dam) Reservoir Capacity: 140 million m3, Dam Height 48.0 m	THE SECTOR												etail E	xamin	ation	of Mu	ulti-P	<mark>eogru</mark>	e Dai	m (F/	S. DE	<mark>).CS)</mark>			

5. PROBLEMS OF DOWNSTREAM AREA OF BILI-BILI DAM

- 1. Illegal buildings and high trees hamper water flow (reducing wet area of river section);
- 2. Solid and liquid waste disposal causes water quality deterioration;
- 3. Safety of residents who reside in the river area;
- 4 Excessive sand mining may cause river bed degradation.

Figure 26. Man-Made Break and Natural Damage of River Dike





♦ Flood risk to residents

♦ Reduction of River Flow Capacity



Figure 27. Garbage Dumping Into River Body







Building ruins may decrease river channel capacity for accomodating flood water



